

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/393,576	09/10/1999	MITSUNOBU ENOMOTO	P1216-9002	2928
4372 7	7590 01/15/2003			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400			EXAMINER	
			DINH, KHANH Q	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/393,576 Applicant(s)

Enomoto et al

Examiner

Khanh Dinh

Art Unit 2155



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 27, 2</u>	002
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	,
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>23-31</u>	is/are pending in the application.
2	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 23-31	is/are rejected.
	Claim(s)	
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·
14) 🗀	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
a) ∟ 15) □	The translation of the foreign language provisional Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm		priority under 35 0.5.C. 33 120 and/or 121.
	errits) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:



Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is in response to the Request for Continued Examination filed on 12/27/2002. Claims 23-31 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 23-27, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arita U.S Pat. No.5,821,926 in view of Matsui et al US pat. No.6,052,239.

As to claim 23, Arita discloses an Internet information for receiving Internet information, displaying it on the screen, and display a tool bar composed of plural buttons each representing control function on the screen comprising:

Art Unit: 2155

selecting an arbitrary button (button class of fig.3a) in said tool bar (fig.3a, 3b, col.10 line 62 to col.12 line 63), mginfying and displaying said selected button (i.e., displaying the button group and the individual buttons as an operating button on a display unit, see abstract, col.11 Lines 15-45, col.20 line 32 to col.21 line 65 and col.15 line 13 to col.16 line 54).

Arita does not specifically disclose magnifying button into a predetermined size in longitudinal and lateral directions. However, Matsui discloses magnifying button into a predetermined size in longitudinal and lateral directions (i.e., using the display controller to magnify the operation button, see abstract, figs.9, 10, col.13 line 17 to col.14 line 59). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Matsui's teachings into the computer system of Arita to displaying information because it would have magnified information displayed on a display means to form a virtual image having arrangement states which are different in a non-use state from in a use state.

As to claim 24, Arita discloses the state of the selected button is magnified in the direction toward the center of the screen at said step of magnifying and displaying said selected button (see fig.8 and col.15 Lines 13-56).

As to claim 25, Arita discloses characters for expressing the function of the button are also displayed at said step of magnifying and displaying said selected button (see fig. 27 and col.17 Lines 1-26 and col.21 line 5 to col.22 line 60).



Art Unit: 2155

As to claim 26, Arita discloses the step of varying the displaying state of said magnified and displayed button when executing the function of said selected button (see fig.1, col.10 Lines 49-60 and col.21 line 5 to col.22 line 60).

As to claim 27, Arita discloses the button is displayed in the depressed state from the screen at the step of varying the displaying state of said magnified and displayed button when executing the function of said selected button (see fig.10, col.17 lines 1-26 and col.25 line 19 to col.26 line 55).

As to claim 30, Arita discloses an Internet information for receiving Internet information, displaying it on the screen, and display a tool bar composed of plural buttons each representing control function on the screen comprising:

selecting an arbitrary button (button class of fig.3a) in said tool bar (fig.3a, 3b, col.10 line 62 to col.12 line 63) and displaying said selected button in a single user action (i.e., displaying the button group and the individual buttons as an operating button on a display unit, see abstract, col.11 Lines 15-45, col.20 line 32 to col.21 line 65 and col.15 line 13 to col.16 line 54).

Arita does not specifically disclose magnifying button into a predetermined size in longitudinal and lateral directions. However, Matsui discloses magnifying button into a

Art Unit: 2155

predetermined size in longitudinal and lateral directions (i.e., using the display controller to magnify the operation button, see abstract, figs.9, 10, col.13 line 17 to col.14 line 59). It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Matsui's teachings into the computer system of Arita to dislaying information because it would have magnified information displayed on a display means to form a virtual image having arrangement states which are different in a non-use state from in a use state.

As to claim 31, Arita discloses that the display state of the selected button is magnified and moved in the direction toward the center of the screen (see fig.8 and col.15 Lines 13-56, col.11 line 4 to col.12 line 64 and col.15 line 4 to col.17 line 54).

4. Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arita and Matsui as in item 3 above and further in view of Schindler et al US pat. No.5,675,390.

Arita and Matsui's teachings still applied as in item 3 above. Neither Arita nor Matsui specifically discloses using a wireless remote control to select an arbitrary button. However, wireless remote control is generally well known in the art as disclosed by Schindler (using remote control to control functional buttons and key pads, see abstract, col.13 line 45 to col.14 line 55). It would have been obvious if not inherent to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a well-known device such as a wireless remote

Art Unit: 2155

control in the computer system of Arita to control data because it would have enabled users to access and to control data information more quickly.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. Claims 23-31 are *rejected*.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 308-8528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh, can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 746-7239.

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire <u>THREE</u> months from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to response within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (35 U.S.C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2155

application to become abandoned (35 U.S.C. Sect. 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(A).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305 -9600.

// AYAZ SHEIKH

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Khanh Dinh Patent Examiner Art Unit 2155 1/10/2003